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Abstract - This work of fiction user method prevents malicious modification of data and data thefts in the relational database. One of the major problems in database is critical based data inconsistency. So the user intrusion detection system addresses response policies for matching and policy administration. For policy matching uses base policy matching and user ordered policy matching based algorithms to find anomalous request. For policy administration proposes a joint threshold administration model (JTAM).

The key idea in JTAM is that a policy object is jointly administered by at least k database administrator (DBAs), that is, any modification made to a confined policy object will be invalid unless it has been authorized by at least k DBAs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

We have seen an interest in products that continuously monitor a database system and report any relevant suspicious activity. Database activity monitoring has been identified by Gartner research as one of the top five strategies that are crucial for reducing data leaks in organizations such step-up in data vigilance by organization is partly driven system by various US government regulations concerning data management such as SOX, PCI, GLBA, HIPAA, and so forth. Organizations have also come to realize that current attack techniques are more sophisticated, organized, and targeted than the broad-based hacking days of past. Often, it is the sensitive and proprietary data that is the real target of attackers. Also, with greater data integration, aggregation and disclosure, preventing data theft, from both inside and outside organizations, has become a major challenge.

Standard database security mechanisms, such as access control, authentication, and encryption, are not of much help when it comes to preventing data theft from insiders. Such level based threats have thus forced organizations to re-evaluate security strategies for their internal databases. Monitoring a database to detect potential intrusions, intrusion detection (ID), is a crucial technique that has to be part of any comprehensive security solution for high-assurance database security. Note that the ID systems that are developed must be tailored with the user Database Management System (DBMS) since database-related attacks such as SQL injection and data ex-filtration are not malicious for the underlying operating system or the network. Our approach to an ID mechanism consists of two main elements, specifically tailored to a DBMS: an anomaly detection (AD) system and an anomaly response system. The first element is based on the construction of database-access profiles of roles and users, and on the use of such profiles for the AD task. A user-request that does not conform to the normal access profiles is characterized as anomalous. Profiles can record information of different levels of details.

The second element of our approach the focus of this paper is in charge of taking some actions once an anomaly is detected. There are three main types of response actions that we refer to, respectively, as conservative actions, fine-grained based actions, and aggressive actions. The conservative actions, such as sending an alert, allow the anomalous request to go through, whereas the system aggressive actions can effectively block the anomalous request.

Fine-grained response actions, on the other hand, are neither conservative nor aggressive. Such actions may suspend or taint an anomalous request. A suspended request is simply put on hold, until some specific actions are executed by the user, such as the execution of further authentication steps.
A tainted request is marked as a potential suspicious request resulting in further monitoring of the user and possibly in the suspension or dropping of subsequent requests by the same user.

With such different response options, the key issue to address is which response measure to take under a given situation. Note that it is not trivial to develop a response mechanism capable of automatically taking actions when abnormal database behavior is detected. Let us illustrate this with the following example. Consider a database monitoring system in place that builds database user profiles based on SQL queries submitted by the users. Suppose that a user U, who has rarely accessed table T, all the issues a query that accesses all columns in T. The detection mechanism flags such request as anomalous for U. The major question is what the system should do next once a request is marked as an system anomalous by the AD mechanism. Since the anomaly is detected based on the learned profiles, it may well be a false alarm. It is easy to see then there are no simple intuitive response measures that can be defined for such security-related events. If T contains sensitive data, a strong response action is to revoke the privileges corresponding to actions that are flagged as anomalous. In our example, such a response would translate into revoking the select privilege on table T from U. However, if the user action is a one-time action part of a bulk-load operation, when all objects which is expected to be accessed by the request, no response action may be necessary. The key idea is to take different response actions depending on the details of the anomalous request, and the context surrounding the request (such as time of the day, origin of the request, and so forth). Therefore, a user response policy is required by the database security administrator to specify appropriate response actions for different circumstances. In this paper, we propose a high level language for the specification of such policies which makes it very easy to specify and modify them.

The two main issues that we address in the context of such response policies are that of policy matching and policy administration. Policy matching is the problem of searching for policies applicable to an anomalous request. When an anomaly is detected, the response system must search through the policy database and find policies that match the anomaly based. Our ID mechanism is in a real-time intrusion detection and response system; thus efficiency of the policy search procedure is crucial. In Section 4, we present two efficient algorithms that take as input the anomalous request details, and search through the policy database to find the matching policies. We implement our policy matching scheme in the Post-gre SQL DBMS, and discuss relevant implementation issues.

We also report experimental results that show that our techniques are very efficient. The second issue that we address is that of administration of response policies. Intuitively, a response policy can be considered as a regular database object such as a table or a view. Privileges, such as create policy and drop policy that are specific to a policy object type can be defined to administer policies. However, a response policy object presents a different set of challenges than other database object types. Recall that a response policy is created to select a response action to be executed in the event of an anomalous request. Consider the case of an anomalous request from a user assigned to the DBA role. Since a DBA role is assigned all possible database privileges, it will also possess the privileges to modify a response policy object. Now consider a scenario, where all the organizational policies require auditing a scenario, where an organizational policy require auditing and detection of malicious activities from all database users including those holding the DBA role. Thus, response policies must be created to respond to anomalous requests from all users. But since a DBA status role holds privileges to alter any response policy, it is easy to see that the protection offered by the response system against a malicious DBA can trivially be bypassed. Then the fundamental problem in such user administration model is that of conflict-of-interest. The main issue is essentially that of insider threats, that is, how to protect a response policy object from malicious modifications made by a database user that has legitimate access rights to the policy object.

II. RELATED WORKS ON JTAM SYSTEM

Every relational databases has several departments each department has separate administrators, each administrator can change the relational databases personally without consulting other user database administrator.

Database which has lot of Administrators to Control Every Relational database. Admin is authorized to control their own Corresponding Relational database only. If the any one of the admin password is hacked, then Data Changes and Updating can be preceded by the Hacker himself. There is no security factor. We proposed work of fiction based method called Joint Threshold Administration Model (JTAM) that is based on the principle of separation of duty. The key idea in JTAM is that a policy object is jointly administered by at least k database administrator (DBAs), that is, any modification made to a policy object will be invalid unless it has been authorized by at least k DBAs. We present design details of JTAM which is based on a cryptographic threshold signature scheme.


III. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

A. Outline and Architecture of the JTAM

A considerable effort has been recently devoted to the development of Database Management Systems (DBMS) which guarantee high based assurance and security. An important component of any strong security solution is to represent all the by Intrusion Detection (ID) techniques, able to detect anomalous behavior of applications and users. To date, however, there have been few ID mechanisms proposed which are specifically tailored to function within the DBMS. In this paper, we propose such a mechanism. Our approach is based on mining SQL queries stored in database audit logs. The result of the user mining process is used to form profiles that can model normal database access the behavior and identify intruders. We consider two different scenarios while addressing the problem. In the first case, we assume that the database has a Role Based Access Control (RBAC) model in place. Under a RBAC system permissions are associated with the roles, grouping several users, rather than with single users. Our ID system is able to determine role intruders, that is, individuals that while holding a specific role, behave differently than expected. An important advantage of providing an ID technique specifically tailored to RBAC databases is that it can help in protecting against insider threats. Furthermore, the existence of roles makes our approach usable even for databases with large user population. In the second scenario, we assume that there are no roles associated with users of the database. In this case, we look directly at the behavior of the users.

We employ clustering algorithms to form concise profiles representing normal user behavior. For detection, we either use these clustered profiles as the roles or employ outlier detection techniques to identify behavior that deviates from the profiles. Our preliminary experimental evaluation on both real and synthetic database traces shows that our methods work well in practical situations.

Researchers have argued that the best way to construct a secure system is to proactively integrate security into the design of the system. However, this tenet is rarely followed because of economic and practical based user considerations. Instead, security mechanisms are added as the need arises, by retrofitting legacy code. Existing techniques to do so are manual and ad hoc and often result in security holes. We present program analysis techniques to assist the process of retrofitting legacy code for authorization policy enforcement.

These techniques can be used to retrofitting legacy servers, such as X window, web, proxy, and cache servers. Because such servers will manage multiple clients simultaneously, and offer shared resources to clients, they must have the ability to enforce all authorization policies. A user developer can use our techniques to identify security-sensitive locations in legacy servers, and place reference monitor calls to mediate all these locations. We demonstrate our techniques by retrofitting the XII server to enforce authorization policies on its X clients.
The threat scenario that we assume is that a DBA has all the privileges in the DBMS, and thus it is able to execute arbitrary based SQL insert, update, and delete commands to make malicious modifications to the policies. Such actions are possible even if the policies are stored in the system catalogs.

B. Preparation of Session Key & Admin Value

Take as an example, if the admin of a particular department wants to modify the values in the table it will reflect the other entire 7 table. So the overall head of the relational database manager provide the key for the entire database. So no user can individually access or change the database. One of the key assumptions is that we do not assume the DBMS to be in possession of a secret key for verifying the integrity of policies. If the DBMS had possessed such key, it could simply create a HMAC (Hashed Message Authentication Code) of each policy using its secret key, and later use the same key to verify the integrity of the policy. Over all control of all database maintained by an administrator, like DBA. One user wants to change the consistency of the database means, admin checks the level of query, that will satisfies with the admin means he will allow the user with warning. Or else the control of the user will be deleted from the log. It will depend on the client request.

IV. INTERFACE OF JTAM APPLICATION

![Fig. 2. Policy state transition diagram](image1)

![Fig. 3. JTAM - Interface](image2)
V. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we have described the response component of our intrusion detection system for a DBMS. The response component is responsible for issuing a suitable response to an anomalous user request. We proposed the notion of database response policies for specifying appropriate response actions. We presented an interactive Event-Condition-Action type response policy language that makes it very easy for the database security administrator to specify appropriate circumstances depending upon the nature of the anomalous request. The two main issues that we addressed in the context of such response policies are policy matching, and policy administration.

For the policy matching procedure, we described algorithms to efficiently search the policy database assessment. We extended the PostgreSQL opensource to implement our methods. Specifically, we added support for new system catalogues to hold policy related data, for the policy administration tasks, and integrated the policy matching code with the query processing subsystem of PostgreSQL. The experimental evaluation of our policy matching algorithms showed that our techniques are efficient.

We proposed a JTAM, a novel administration model, based on Shoup’s threshold cryptographic signature scheme. We presented all the detail design and the implementation JTAM, and reported experimental results on the efficiency of the policy signature verification mechanism. We plan to extend our work on the following lines. An interactive response policy that requires a second factor of authentication will provides when certain anomalous executed against critical system resources such as anomalous access to system catalogue tables. This opens the way to new research on how to organize applications to handle such interactions for the case of legacy applications and new applications.

In the security area there is a lot work dealing with retrofitting legacy applications for authorization policy enforcement; we believe that such approaches can be extended to support such an interactive approach. For new applications, one can devise methodologies to organize applications that support such interactions.
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